S

4

SINGLE BENCH

Chief

1.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P (S). NO.....4137.../2006

PETITIONER

Nathuram Kori, Aged about 58 years, S/o Late Baldev Prasad Kori at present working as a Krishi Vikash Adhikari (Bhoomi Sanrakshan) Dongargaon Tah- Dongargaon District – Rajnandgaon. (CG)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary Agriculture Department, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur.(CG)
2. Director, Agriculture, Secretariat, Agriculture Department, Raipur, District – Raipur. (CG)
3. Deputy Director, Agriculture Department Rajnandgaon District Rajnandgaon. (CG)
4. S.R. Jajthav, Agriculture Development Officer through Deputy Director Agriculture Department Rajnandgaon. (CG)

4209106

P.R. No. 3

Presented by Shri N. P. Kosta

dated.....

5/11/06

FEE—CC

3/8/06

CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARY AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS :-

1. PARTIC

33

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 4137/06 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10.8.2006 Mr. Vishnu Kosta, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State on advance copy. Heard. By the instant petition, the petitioner, who is working as Senior Agriculture Development Officer, Dongargaon, Tehsil Dongergarh, has questioned his transfer order dated 1.7.2006 (Annexure P-1) whereby he has been transferred under Deputy Director, Agriculture, Ambikapur on administrative ground. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he is going to retire within a period of two years and at the fag end of his career, he has been transferred against the transfer policy of State, which envisages that if retirement of any employee is due, then he should not be subjected to transfer. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and in turn, respondent No.2 be directed to decide the same in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 within ten days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law after considering all the points raised by the petitioner. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3216/06 also stands disposed of Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Roshan/-

P.T.O.

18.8.06